## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Byron White United States Courthouse 1823 Stout Street Denver, Colorado 80257 (303) 844-3157

Christopher M. Wolpert Clerk of Court

July 16, 2021

Jane K. Castro Chief Deputy Clerk

Mr. Mitchell R. Elfers United States District Court for the District of New Mexico Office of the Clerk 333 Lomas N.W. Albuquerque, NM 87102

**RE:** United States v. Nissen

Dist/Ag docket: 1:19-CR-00077-JB & 1:21-CV-00505-JB-SMV

Dear Mr. Elfers:

Enclosed please find a "Request of Certificate of Appealability" from Michael Nissen that we have construed to be a misdirected notice of appeal. In order for your court to take the appropriate steps to provide us with the supplemental preliminary record in this matter, the notice of appeal is being sent to you so it can be properly filed as of July 15, 2021. See Fed. R. App. P. 4(d).

Please contact this office if you have questions.

Sincerely,

Christopher M. Wolpert Clerk of the Court

Encl.

cc: Michael Nissen

CMW/sds

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

2821 JUL 15 AM 10: 11

To: Clerk of Court,

From: Michael J Nissen, agent #02508151

C. C. C. C.

P.O. Box 3540

Milan, N.M. 87021

Regards: Request of Certificate of Appealability

Clerk of Court,

Please court time stamp this legal

document into the record of the court and

forward to proper party who will make

ruling of this Request, Also if the clerk

of court could send copy back to

sender for records that would be greatly

appreciated.

Date: 07/12/2021

by; Muhal/ Min, agent MECHAEL J NISSEM RECTIVED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS LOTH DIRCUIT 2021 JUL 15 AM 10: 12 UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT MICHAEL JAMES NISSEN Movant, Case No: V5', 1921 - CV - 00505 - JB - SMN 1:19 -CR - 00677 - JB UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Respondant, REQUEST OF CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY This Matter, comes before the court in a Request of Certificate of appealability for 28 U.S.C. & 2255 Motion that was dismissed as "premature" by James O Browning case no: 1:21-CV-00505-JB-SMV, 1:19-CR-00077-JB, See (Doc, 8, 9), Accordingly the Movant Filed an Affidavit of Due Process of

Pg 10F7

Law Violation For Excluding Movant From Proceedings on Ruling Dismissing 28 U.S.C. 1 2255 See (Dx, 10). 1;21-CV-00505-JB-SMV, 1:19 - CR - 00077 - JB, Final Judgement, See (Doc, 9) "There not being any more parties," before the court, Movant was denied due process and equal protection of law for being excluded from an adjudicative hearing as a party to this \$ 2255 motion. Next, Rule 11 (a) of Rules Governing Section 2255, The district court must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to

the applicant. Before entering the

final order the court may direct the "Parties" to submit arguments on whether a certificate should issue. Movant in this matter was once again denied due process and equal protection of law for not being present in physical form at an adjudicative hearing to submit an argument in the interest of the Movant. If the court denies a certificate a party may not appeal the denial but may seek a certificate from the court of appeals under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22.

Last, in a recently filed Pg 3 of 7

Affidavit of Formal Objection of Memorandum Opinion of Order and Final Judgement on 07/08/2021 with the District Court clerk of court in regards to case no: 1:21-cv-00505-JB-SMV, 1:19-CR-00077-JB, According to Habras Corpus Practice and Procedures \$ 41.4 paragraph 11 (2), "the date in which the impediment to making a motion created by government action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the Movant was prevented from making a motion by such government action. In addition, Movant is a party Pg 40f7

to this 28 U.S.C. \$ 2255 Motion and has every right to be present at all proceedings, I am being denied due process and equal protection of law for being excluded by the court in these proceedings before the court as recorded and documented into the record of the court to offset James O Brownings statement in paragraph 1 of this Request of Certificate of Appealability, relating to 1:21- (V-00505-JB-SMV, See (Doc, 9), which is misleading and deceptive in its context and I quote: "There not being any more parties," before the court.
Pg 50F7

No participation of Movant as a party to \$ 2255 Motion in adjudicative hearing is a violation of due process and equal protection of law guaranteed by the Constitution. These continued due process and equal protection of law violations committed by a Federal Judge in both cases said herein need to cease and desist immediately. IN Conclusion

wherefore, Movant request from the Court of Appeals under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22,

an order by this court for a Request of Certificate of Appealability should issue is a valid request for the reasons of fact said herein pertaining to Federal United States Administrative Agency Laws, Rules et. al, . I look for Forward to a timely response and pray that this Request of Certificate of Appealability is issued, Respectfully Submitted,
Date: 07/12/2021 by: Muchael & Min, agent
MICHAEL J HISSEN
agent

P. C. 130x 3540 M. Tan, N.M [8702] 0258815 c, c, c, c, CoreCivic Inmate Mail Not responsible for content

Byron White United States Courthruse

Corry

TEMPS CERCUIT

COURT OF

APPEALS

STATES

ALBUQUERQUE NIM 870

"TRANK"

Missen, michae

يندوم وخداني والمراوي والدون والمواجهة والمراوي والدون والتراوي والتراوي والدون والدون والدون والدون والدون وا والدون الدون والدون والدون

Denver, Colorado So257

Stout Street

Secretary of the control of the cont



LEGAL MATL

ZUZ/

© USPS 2019

THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT